

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

DENNIS MONTGOMERY and the  
MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, LLC;  
WARREN TREPP; and the UNITED  
STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,

Defendants.

AND ALL RELATED MATTERS.

3:06-CV-00056-PMP-VPC  
BASE FILE

3:06-CV-00145-PMP-VPC


ORDER

Before the Court for consideration are the Montgomery Parties' Objections to Magistrate Judge's Order Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of eTreppid (Doc. #784) to the Order entered by Magistrate Judge Valerie P. Cooke at the Discovery Status Conference conducted July 15, 2008, (*See* minutes of proceedings document #760 (8)) filed July 17, 2008.

Having reviewed the foregoing proceedings before Magistrate Judge Cooke, and in light of the Montgomery Parties' objections (Doc. #784) and Defendant eTreppid's Response thereto (Doc. #812), the Court finds that Magistrate Judge Cooke's Order is neither unclear nor clearly erroneous or contrary to law, and good cause appearing,

1           **IT IS ORDERED** that the Montgomery Parties' Objections to Magistrate  
2 Judge's Order Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of eTreppid (Doc. #784) entered by Magistrate  
3 Judge Valerie P. Cooke are OVERRULED and the Order of Magistrate Judge Cooke (Doc.  
4 #760) concerning the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of eTreppid is AFFIRMED.

5  
6 DATED: August 25, 2008.

7  
8   
9 PHILIP M. PRO  
United States District Judge